

Uncountably many pairwise disjoint copies of one metrizable compactum in another

Eric K. van Douwen

Abstract

van Douwen, E.K., Uncountably many pairwise disjoint copies of one metrizable compactum in another, *Topology and its Applications* 51 (1993) 87–91.

We prove that if K is a compact metrizable space and if X is separable and completely metrizable and contains uncountably many pairwise disjoint homeomorphs of K then X contains a copy of $2 \times K$. We also present applications of this result.

Keywords: Cantor set, embedding, compact metrizable space.

AMS (MOS) Subj. Class.: 54C25.

1. Results

Let 2 denote the Cantor discontinuum. In this paper we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *Let X be separable and completely metrizable, and let K be compact. Then $K \times 2$ embeds into X if (and trivially only if) X has an uncountable pairwise disjoint family of subspaces each homeomorphic to K .*

The motivation for proving this is that it has the following corollary.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a separable completely metrizable space which has a point p and a collection \mathcal{A} of arcs (\equiv copies of $I = [0, 1]$) such that $X = \bigcup \mathcal{A}$, and p is an endpoint of each member of \mathcal{A} , and $A \cap A' = \{p\}$ for every two distinct $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is rational, i.e., the collection of open sets that have a countable boundary is a base;
- (2) X is rational at p (self-explanatory);
- (3) \mathcal{A} is countable (\equiv at most countable);
- (4) X is the union of some countable collection of arcs; and
- (5) $1 \times {}^\omega 2$ does not embed in X .

Proof. The implications $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1) \Rightarrow (5)$ are easy, if not trivial, and $(5) \Rightarrow (3)$ follows from Theorem 1. \square

This answers Lelek's question of whether (1) and (5) are equivalent for compact one-dimensional X , [5, P15].

Theorem 1 partially generalizes the classical result that an uncountable continuous image of the irrationals¹ has a subspace homeomorphic to ${}^\omega 2$, [3, p. 437]: let $|K| = 1$. (I have not investigated the question of whether one can weaken the condition in X in Theorem 1.) After proving Theorem 1 I discovered an analogous result by Roberts, that for nicely enough embedded² snake-like continua K in the plane Π there is a collection of 2^ω pairwise disjoint copies of K in Π , [7], especially since inspection of the proof reveals that $K \times {}^\omega 2$ is shown to embed in Π . The proof of Theorem 1 is, like the proofs of the two results just mentioned, a Cantor tree type argument, which is made interesting by the fact that we have no information about how nicely the copies of K are embedded.³ Also, they need not be equivalently embedded.

2. Conventions

We follow some common set theoretic short hand.

ω denotes the nonnegative integers, and n is $\{i \in \omega : i < n\}$ for $n \in \omega$. In particular, $0 = \emptyset$ and $2 = \{0, 1\}$.

If f is a function, then $\text{dom}(f)$ denotes its domain, and $f \restriction A$ denotes the image under f of a set A . Of course f equals its graph $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in \text{dom}(f)\}$, hence if g is another function then $g \supseteq f$ means that g extends f .

The set of all functions $A \rightarrow B$ is denoted by ${}^A B$, in particular ${}^\omega 2$ denotes the set of all n -term sequences of 0's and 1's (so ${}^0 2 = \{\emptyset\}$) and ${}^\omega 2$ denotes the underlying

¹ Recall that every separable completely metrizable space is a continuous image of the irrationals, [3, p. 434].

² Bing has shown that every snake-like continuum can be embedded nicely enough, [2, Theorem 4, cf. Theorem 5].

³ In this connection it is of interest to note the following corollary to Theorem 1: If P denotes the pseudo-arc, i.e., the unique hereditarily indecomposable snake-like metrizable continuum, [1, Theorem 1], then $P \times {}^\omega 2$ embeds in P (proved earlier by Lelek, [4]), since P has a family of 2^ω pairwise disjoint nondegenerate subcontinua, being indecomposable, and they are all homeomorphic to P , [6], see also [1, Theorem 1].

set of the product of ω copies of 2. We use ${}^\omega 2$ to abbreviate $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} {}^n 2$, the Cantor tree. Note that $\text{dom}(s) = |s|$ for $s \in {}^\omega 2$, and that

$$\{\{x \in {}^\omega 2 : x \subseteq s\} : s \in {}^\omega 2\}$$

is the usual base for the product topology on ${}^\omega 2$ if 2 is discrete. Finally, for $s \in {}^\omega 2$ and $i \in 2$ we use $s \hat{\ } i$ to denote the concatenation of s and i , i.e., $s \hat{\ } i = s \cup \{\langle |s|, i \rangle\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let F be an uncountable set of embeddings of K into X such that $f \restriction K \cap g \restriction K = \emptyset$ for every two distinct $f, g \in F$.

Let $\langle \mathcal{A}_n \rangle_n$ be a sequence of finite open covers of K with $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{K\}$ such that $\bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n$ is a base for K , and such that \mathcal{A}_{n+1} refines \mathcal{A}_n for $n \in \omega$, and such that $\emptyset \notin \bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n$. Then, since K is compact,

$$(\forall f \in F)(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists n \in \omega)(\forall A \in \bigcup_{k \geq n} \mathcal{A}_k)[\text{diam}(f \restriction A) < \varepsilon] \quad (*)$$

no matter which metric we use for X .

Let X carry a complete metric such that $\text{diam}(X) < 1$, let \mathcal{B} be a countable base for X closed under finite unions and intersections. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for every uncountable pairwise disjoint collection } \mathcal{C} \text{ of compact} \\ &\text{subsets of } X \text{ there are } B_0, B_1 \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } \bar{B}_0 \cap \bar{B}_1 = \emptyset \text{ such that } \{C \in \mathcal{C} : \\ &C \subseteq B_i\} \text{ is uncountable, for } i \in 2. \end{aligned} \quad (**)$$

Indeed, if $\mathcal{S} = \{\langle C_0, C_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} : C_0 \neq C_1\}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{\langle B_0, B_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} : \bar{B}_0 \cap \bar{B}_1 = \emptyset\}$, then for each $\langle C_0, C_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}$ there is $\langle B_0, B_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{P}$ with $C_i \subseteq B_i$ for $i \in 2$, hence $(**)$ because $|\mathcal{C}| > \omega = |\mathcal{B}|$.

The following claim is a major part of our proof.

Claim. *There are a strictly increasing $\sigma : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and a function $\Gamma : \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^n 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a function $\Phi : {}^\omega 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(F)$ such that*

- (1) $\text{diam}(\Gamma(A, s)) < 2^{-|s|}$ for $\langle A, s \rangle \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$;
- (2) $\Gamma(A, s) \cap \Gamma(A', s') = \emptyset$ for $\langle A, s \rangle, \langle A', s' \rangle \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^n 2$ with $s \upharpoonright (n-1) = s' \upharpoonright (n-1)$ and with $\bar{A} \cap \bar{A}' = \emptyset$ or $s \neq s'$;
- (3) $\Phi(s) \subseteq \{f \in F : f \restriction A \subseteq \Gamma(A, s) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(|s|)}\}$;
- (4) $\Phi(s)$ is uncountable for all $s \in {}^\omega 2$;
- (5) $\Phi(s) \supseteq \Phi(s')$ for all $s, s' \in {}^\omega 2$ with $s \subseteq s'$.

Proof of Claim. We construct $\sigma(n)$, and $\Gamma \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^\omega 2$, and $\Phi \upharpoonright {}^\omega 2$ with induction on $n \in \omega$. Let $\sigma(0) = 0$, and $\Gamma(K, \emptyset) = X$, and $\Phi(\emptyset) = F$. All conditions, as far as applicable, hold.

Now let $n > 0$, and suppose $\sigma(k)$ and $\Gamma \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(k)} \times {}^k 2$ and $\Phi \upharpoonright {}^k 2$ to be constructed for $k < n$.

We first determine $\sigma(n)$. Since ${}^{(n-1)} 2$ is finite $(*)$ enables us to find $\sigma(n) > \sigma(n-1)$ such that

$$\Phi'(s) = \{f \in \Phi(s) : \text{diam}(f \restriction A) < 2^{-n} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)}\}$$

is uncountable for all $s \in {}^{(n-1)} 2$.

We now construct auxiliary functions Γ_0 , Φ'' and Γ_1 , and also $\Phi \upharpoonright {}^n 2$.

Construction of Γ_0 and Φ'' . For each $s \in {}^{(n-1)}2$ and $f \in \Phi'(s)$ the indexed collection $\langle f \restriction \bar{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \rangle$ is a finite collection of compact sets of diameter less than 2^{-n} , hence we can find $T_f : \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $f \restriction A \subseteq T_f(A)$ and $\text{diam}(T_f(A)) < 2^{-n}$, for $A \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)}$; and $T_f(A) \cap T_f(A') = \emptyset$ for $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)}$ with $\bar{A} \cap \bar{A}' = \emptyset$.

(Note that if $C \subseteq X$ is compact then $\{B \in \mathcal{B} : B \subseteq C\}$ is a neighborhood base for C .)

Since there are only countably many functions $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ there is for each $s \in {}^{(n-1)}2$ a $T^s : \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\Phi'(s) = \{f \in \Phi'(s) : T_f = T^s\}$$

is uncountable. Define $\Gamma_0 : \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^{(n-1)}2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$\Gamma_0(A, s) = T^s(A) \quad \text{for } (A, s) \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^{(n-1)}2.$$

Construction of Γ_1 and $\Phi \upharpoonright {}^n 2$. Because of (**) we can find for each $s \in {}^{(n-1)}2$ sets $B_{s \restriction 0}, B_{s \restriction 1} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\bar{B}_{s \restriction 0} \cap \bar{B}_{s \restriction 1} = \emptyset$, and

$$\Phi(s \hat{\ } i) = \{f \in \Phi''(s) : f \restriction K \subseteq B_{s \restriction i}\}$$

is uncountable, for $i \in 2$. Define

$$\Gamma_1(A, s) = B_{s \restriction i} \quad \text{for } (A, s) \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^n 2.$$

Having found Γ_0 and Γ_1 we define $\Gamma \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^n 2$ by

$$\Gamma(A, s) = \Gamma_0(A, s \upharpoonright (n-1)) \cap \Gamma_1(A, s) \quad \text{for } (A, s) \in \mathcal{A}_{\sigma(n)} \times {}^n 2.$$

Clearly all conditions, as far as applicable, hold.

This completes the construction of σ , Γ and ϕ .

We now are ready to construct an embedding $e : K \times {}^\omega 2 \rightarrow X$. Without loss of generality $\sigma = \text{id}_\omega$. First define $\mathcal{E} : K \times {}^\omega 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(y, t) = \{\Gamma(A, t \upharpoonright n) : n \in \omega, \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}_n, \text{ and } y \in A\}.$$

We claim that

$$|\bigcap \mathcal{E}(y, t)| = 1 \quad \text{for all } \langle y, t \rangle \in K \times {}^\omega 2.$$

Indeed, fix $\langle y, t \rangle \in K \times {}^\omega 2$. By (1) there is for each $n \in \omega$ an $E \in \mathcal{E}(y, t)$ with $\text{diam}(E) < 2^{-n}$ since \mathcal{A}_n covers K , hence it suffices to show that $\mathcal{E}(y, t)$ is centered: Given $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$ and $A' \in \mathcal{A}_{n'}$, with $y \in A \cap A'$ there are $n'' \geq \max\{n, n'\}$ and $A'' \in \mathcal{A}_{n''}$ with $y \in A'' \subseteq A \cap A'$. If $f \in \Phi(t \upharpoonright n'')$ then also $f \in \Phi(t \upharpoonright n)$ and $f \in \Phi(t \upharpoonright n')$, hence

$$\emptyset \neq f \restriction A'' \subseteq f \restriction A \cap f \restriction A' \subseteq \Gamma(A, t \upharpoonright n) \cap \Gamma(A', t \upharpoonright n').$$

We therefore can define a map $e : K \times {}^\omega 2 \rightarrow X$ by

$$\{e(y, t)\} = \bigcap \mathcal{E}(y, t) \quad \text{for } y \in K, t \in {}^\omega 2.$$

Since each \mathcal{A}_n covers K it is clear from (1) that e is continuous. Also, e is an injection because of (2): Consider any two distinct $\langle y_0, t_0 \rangle, \langle y_1, t_1 \rangle \in K \times {}^\omega 2$. There is an $n \geq 1$ such that $t_0 \upharpoonright n = t_1 \upharpoonright n$, and $t_0 \neq t_1$ or there are $A_0, A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_n$ with $\bar{A}_0 \cap \bar{A}_1 = \emptyset$, and $y_i \in A_i$ for $i \in 2$. Then $\Gamma(A_0, t_0 \upharpoonright n)^- \cap \Gamma(A_1, t_1 \upharpoonright n)^- = \emptyset$ by (2), hence $e(y_0, t_0) \neq e(y_1, t_1)$. \square

References

- [1] R.H. Bing, Concerning hereditarily indecomposable continua, *Pacific J. Math.* 1 (1951) 43–51.
- [2] R.H. Bing, Snake-like continua, *Duke Math. J.* 18 (1951) 653–663.
- [3] K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, *Set Theory* (PWN, Warsaw; North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976).
- [4] A. Lelek, On the topology of curves I, *Fund. Math.* 67 (1970) 359–367.
- [5] A. Lelek, Some problems concerning curves, *Colloq. Math.* 23 (1971) 93–98.
- [6] E.E. Moise, An indecomposable plane continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 63 (1948) 581–594.
- [7] J.H. Roberts, Concerning atriodic continua, *Monatsh. Math. Phys.* 37 (1930) 223–230.

Notes by the editor

This paper was originally submitted to *Houston Journal of Mathematics* on June 2, 1981. It was refereed and accepted for publication but van Douwen never submitted a revised version of his manuscript. The editor of *Houston Journal of Mathematics* has authorized me to publish the paper here and he has provided me with a copy of the report of the referee. I have made those changes and improvements suggested by the referee that I thought were appropriate.

Theorem 1 of this paper can also be proved by an elementary function space type argument. This was observed independently by Pol and Becker, van Engelen and van Mill (*“Disjoint embeddings of compacta”*, to appear in *Mathematika*). Also, Becker, van Engelen and van Mill observed the following. If $\text{Det}(\Pi_1^1)$ holds then every coanalytic space containing uncountably many copies of a compact space K contains a copy of $K \times {}^\omega 2$. Also, there is an example of a σ -compact space containing uncountably many pairwise disjoint copies of the circle S^1 but not $S^1 \times {}^\omega 2$, granting the existence of an uncountable coanalytic space without perfect subsets. Even for σ -compact metrizable spaces the answer to the question formulated in Section 1 of this paper is independent of the usual axioms of set theory.